

AMENDMENT B, Contd.

Table 1 below shows the correspondence between the cancelled claims of Amendment A and new claims of Amendment B.

Table 1 Correspondence of Claims between Amendment A and Amendment B

AMENDMENT A	AMENDMENT B
126-130	193-197
145-149	198-202
164-168	203-207

- 5 Applicant has rewritten the claims 126-130, 145-149, 164-168 as described in Table 1, to define the invention more particularly and distinctly so as to overcome the patenting rejections and define the invention patentably over the prior art.

CONCLUSION

- 10 For all of the above reasons, applicant submits that the Claims are now in proper form, and that the Claims all define patentably over the prior art. Therefore applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance, which action he respectfully solicits.

Conditional request for Constructive Assistance

- 15 Applicant has amended the claims of this application so that they are proper, definite, and define novel structure which is also unobvious. If, for any reason this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, applicant respectfully request the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner pursuant to M.P.E.P § 2173.02 and § 707.07(j) in order that the undersigned can place this application in
- 20 allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings.

AMENDMENT B, Contd.

Very respectfully,

Venkat Konda 9/23/04

Venkat Konda

Teak Networks, Inc (USPTO Customer Number: 38139)

5 6278 Grand Oak Way

San Jose, CA 95135

Phone: 408-472-3273;

Fax: 408-238-2478

- 10 **Certificate of mailing:** I certify that on the date below this document and referenced attachment, if any, will be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: "MAIL STOP AF, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA, 22313-1450."

15 2004, September 23

Venkat Konda

Venkat Konda, Applicant